

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

OSWALDO BARRIOS, ON BEHALF OF
HIMSELF AND ALL OTHER
SIMILARLY SITUATED;

Plaintiff,

VS.

MASTEC NETWORK SOLUTIONS,
LLC, INSTALLATION SQUAD, LLC,
BERNARDO NAPOLES, ANGEL
RINCON,

Defendants.

SA-25-CV-00291-JKP

ORDER SETTING INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

Before the Court is the above-styled and numbered cause of action, which was referred to the undersigned on March 21, 2025, for all non-dispositive pretrial proceedings [#3]. The record reflects that Defendant MasTec Network Solutions, LLC removed this case from state court on March 18, 2025 [#1], and filed a Motion to Dismiss on April 15, 2025 [#7]. The Court will therefore set this case for its standard initial pretrial conference and direct the parties to confer in accordance with Rule 26. At the conference, the Court will enter a Scheduling Order in this case.

Prior to the Initial Pretrial Conference, all parties should familiarize themselves with the Local Rules of this Court (<https://www.txwd.uscourts.gov/court-information/lcr-introduction/>). In addition, the specific requirements for each district judge can be found on the Court’s website (<https://www.txwd.uscourts.gov/judges-information/judges-directory-biographies/>). Each District Judge’s Standing Order(s) and/or Court Fact Sheet can be accessed by clicking on their respective name and then clicking on “Standing Orders.” Failure to comply with the Local Rules and/or the

District Judge's standing orders or Court Fact Sheet will result in the consequences outlined in the orders and fact sheets and could result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this case is set for an **Initial Pretrial Conference** at **3:00 p.m. Central Time on June 2, 2025**. Counsel for all parties are **required to appear by Zoom** for the conference. The information to join the conference is as follows:

Join ZoomGov Meeting: <https://txwd-uscourts.zoomgov.com/j/16126729723>

Meeting ID: 161 2672 9723

If there are questions regarding the Zoom appearance, the parties should contact Judge Chestney's Courtroom Deputy by emailing txwdml_chambers_sa_judgechestney@txwd.uscourts.gov.

Because earlier hearings in other cases may be in progress at the time attorneys log-in for their scheduled hearing, attorneys may be required to wait in the Zoom "waiting room" until the Courtroom Deputy addresses them. Parties should review the July 15, 2020 Standing Order Regarding Telephone or Video Teleconference Hearings, which is available upon request from the Courtroom Deputy and on the Court's website.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties confer in the manner required by Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; further, pursuant to Local Rule CV-16 the parties should submit their Joint Discovery/Case Management Plan in a form that substantially conforms with Appendix N of the Local Rules and that answers the following questions no later than **May 28, 2025**.

1. Are there any outstanding jurisdictional issues?
 - a. For removed cases based on diversity jurisdiction, do the parties agree that the amount in controversy exceeded \$75,000 at the time of removal? If not, each party should state its position on the amount in controversy.
 - b. For cases based on diversity jurisdiction, have all parties filed a disclosure statement as required by Rule 7.1(a)(2) naming and identifying the citizenship of every individual or entity whose citizenship is attributed to each party? If not,

disclose that information here. This means LLCs and LPs must disclose the names of their members/partners and the citizenship of each member/partner.

2. Are there any unserved parties? If more than 90 days have passed since the filing of the Complaint or petition, should these unserved parties be dismissed?
3. What are the causes of action, defenses, and counterclaims in this case? What are the elements of the cause(s) of action, defenses, and counterclaims pled?
4. Are there any agreements or stipulations that can be made about any facts in this case or any element in the cause(s) of action?
5. State the parties' views and proposals on all items identified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3).
6. What, if any, discovery has been completed? What discovery remains to be done? Have the parties considered conducting discovery in phases?
7. What, if any, discovery disputes exist?
8. Have the parties discussed the desirability of filing a proposed order pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502?
9. Have the parties discussed mediation?

During their conference, the parties should also address whether a protective order is required, and whether the protective order in Appendix H-1 (to govern standard cases) or Appendix H-2 (to govern complex cases) of this Court's Local Rules is appropriate. The Court will address the substance of the parties' joint report and discovery plan at the Initial Pretrial Conference.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties submit a **proposed scheduling order** pursuant to Local Rule CV-16(c), no later than **May 28, 2025**. The Court will discuss with the parties any proposed changes to the Court's standard scheduling order based on the unique circumstances of this case at the Initial Pretrial Conference.

The proposed scheduling order shall contain suggestions for the following deadlines:

1. Offer of Settlement: The parties asserting claims for relief must submit a written offer of settlement to opposing parties by _____, and each opposing party must respond in writing by _____.
2. Amend/Supplement Pleadings, Joinder of Parties: Subject to Local Rule CV-15, the parties shall file all motions to amend or supplement pleadings or to join additional parties by _____.
3. Designation of Witnesses, Experts, and Exhibits: All parties asserting claims for relief shall file their designation of potential witnesses, testifying experts, and proposed exhibits, and must **SERVE** on all parties but **NOT FILE** the materials required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 by _____. Parties resisting claims for relief must file their designation of potential witnesses, testifying experts,

and proposed exhibits, and must **SERVE** on all parties but **NOT FILE** the materials required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 by _____. All designations of rebuttal experts must be designated within ____ days of receipt of the report of the opposing expert.

4. Expert Testimony Objection: An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 must be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and identifying the objectionable testimony, **within** ____ days of receipt of the written report of the expert's proposed testimony, or **within** ____ days of the expert's deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.

5. Discovery: The parties must complete discovery by _____. Counsel may by agreement continue discovery beyond the deadline, but there will be no intervention by the Court except in extraordinary circumstances, and no trial setting will be vacated because of information obtained in post-deadline discovery.

6. Dispositive Motions: All dispositive motions shall be filed by _____. Dispositive motions and responses to dispositive motions are limited to 20 pages in length, and any reply in support of a dispositive motion is limited to 10 pages in length. *See* Local Rule CV-7.

7. Mediation: The parties must mediate this case on or before _____, and file a report in accordance with Local Rule CV-88 within one week of the completion of mediation.

8. The Court will set dates for trial and the final pretrial conference after ruling on any dispositive motions or after the deadline for such motions passes without a pertinent filing. At that time, the Court will also set appropriate deadlines for trial and pretrial conference matters.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 23rd day of April, 2025.



ELIZABETH S. ("BETSY") CHESTNEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE